

WRITING GUIDE | Using sources effectively: To quote, or not to quote?

Many students first learn to write from research by using quotations from sources to support their own ideas in a paper. This model for early learning follows a sensible process:

- examine a topic
- find out what an expert has to say about it
- quote that person as authoritative support in a piece of writing.

However, college-level writers are expected to work with source support as professionals and scholars do:

- use source support more often, and
- quote little; paraphrase instead.

Paraphrasing—presenting ideas from a source in the writer’s own words and syntax—is important!

- It helps to keep the paper in a consistent voice.
- It demonstrates that the writer understands the material.

This means that when using source support, you should **paraphrase much more often than you quote.**

Note: This is a good general rule. But not all disciplines are the same. In some, like literature, folklore, and philosophy, quoting is a regular feature of good writing; the ideas and how the ideas are expressed can be important. Other disciplines, especially the sciences, expect very little to no quotations in scholarly writing.

So, when should you use quotations in your writing?

When to quote

Robert A. Harris, in *Using Sources Effectively*, says that quotations can be useful (not necessary!) as

- expert declaration
- direct support
- effective language
- historical flavor
- specific example
- controversial statement

When not to quote

Always make the decision to quote very carefully; it should never be your “default.” (Paraphrasing should be.) But definitely avoid what Harris calls “cautions about quoting”:

- quoting too often
- quoting one source too many times

- quoting too long